

## 2023 CoC NOFO Renewal Project Priority Ranking Form

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Project Agency: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Evaluator Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL</b>		
<b>PART A. Threshold (All Pass or Fail)</b>		
Project proposes to serve eligible population and eligible activities	YES	NO
Project proposes to use eligible costs for the project type	YES	NO
Applicant and sub-recipient are eligible entities	YES	NO
Project match funds are equal to or greater than 25% of the requested HUD funds	YES	NO
Project agrees to participate in Coordinated Entry and HMIS (or comparable DB for DV)	YES	NO
Project agrees to use Housing First principles	YES	NO
<b>If any Answers No - then FAIL THRESHOLD PASS FAIL</b>	<b>PASS</b>	<b>FAIL</b>
<b>PART B. Project Type</b>		
Permanent Supportive Housing (Shelter plus Care)	10	
Rapid Re-Housing	8	
Joint Transitional Housing TH and TRRH (TH-RRH)	8	
Other project type	6	
Project proposes to use 'New budget line items' (Sec I.3.d of HUD 2023 NOFO): VAWA activities, at or above 1% of total project costs	2	
<b>Total PART B</b>	<b>12</b>	
<b>PART C. Prioritize Vulnerable Populations (total 17 points)</b>		
1 100% individuals or families experiencing Chronic Homelessness or DedicatedPlus population	12	
2. Persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking	10	
3. Families with children or Unaccompanied Youth	8	
4. Veterans	7	
<b>Total PART C</b>	<b>12</b>	
<b>PART D: Project Performance – (Systems Performance Measures)</b>		
If project is a first time renewal or has not completed a full operating year it will receive full points. Participant Project Performance (Source: HMIS or Comparable Database)		
1. % of persons 18/older who maintained or increased their total income (HUD goal- 54%)		
PSH	RRH	
40% or more	35% or more	10
30%-39%	25% to 34%	8
20% - 29%	20%-24%	5
19% or less	19% or less	7
2. % of persons who remained in PH or exited to PH during year (HUD goal-80%)		

80% or more	10	
60% - 80%	8	
Below 60%	7	
3. % of adult participants who have received or maintained mainstream benefits		
85% or higher	10	
65%-85%	8	
Below 65%	7	
4. Return to homelessness - % of households who return to homelessness at exit		
10% or less	10	
More than 10%	5	
5. Average daily bed utilization rate at least 95%	6	
6. Use of evidence based approach	6	
<b>Total Points - PART D</b>	<b>52</b>	
<b>Part E. Local CoC Processes (Maximum Points – 21)</b>		
1. Applicant agency is actively involved with the CoC by attending 50% of Steering Committee meetings and involved with a subcommittee	2	
2. % of null or missing values reported in HMIS is 5% or less/like system for DV	2	
3. Agency uses Housing First Model	2	
4. Program prioritizes entry by severity of need	2	
5. Low barrier entry to program	2	
6. Project applicant evidences measures to identify and address identity based barriers to participation in the program	2	
7. Project applicant includes those with lived experience of homelessness in planning or decision making processes	2	
<b>Total Points - PART E</b>	<b>14</b>	
<b>Part F. Monitoring - Budget/ Cost Effectiveness/Capacity – 10 points</b>		
1. Timely Drawdowns - quarterly	3	
2. Minimal Recaptured Funds (full points for first time renewal)	3	
3. Project is Cost-Effective – at or below \$15,300 per unit/year (Total HUD CoC funds/number of units)	2	
4. No Unresolved monitoring findings from HUD/OIG	2	
<b>Total Points - PART F</b>	<b>10</b>	
<b>FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 100 )</b>	<b>100</b>	
<b>Final Comments</b>		

## 2023 CoC NOFO New/Expanded Project Priority Ranking Form

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Project Agency: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Evaluator Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

--	--	--

NEW PROJECT SCORING TOOL		
<b>PART A. : Threshold (All Pass or Fail)</b>		
Project proposes to serve eligible population and eligible activities	YES	NO
Project proposes to use eligible costs for the project type	YES	NO
Applicant and sub-recipient are eligible entities	YES	NO
Project match funds are equal to or greater than 25% of the requested HUD funds	YES	NO
Project agrees to participate in Coordinated Entry and ServicePoint HMIS/like system for DV	YES	NO
Project agrees to use Housing First principles	YES	NO
<b>THRESHOLD DV BONUS ONLY</b>		
All participants in the project will meet the qualifications of Category 4 of the homeless definition as amended 10/1/21 (Sec. I.3.f of NOFO)	YES	NO
<b>If any Answers No - then FAIL THRESHOLD PASS FAIL</b>	<b>PASS</b>	<b>FAIL</b>
<b>PART B. Project Type</b>		
Permanent Supportive Housing PSH	8	
Rapid Re-Housing RRH	7	
Joint Transitional Housing - RRH (TH-RRH)	6	
Other	5	
Project proposes to utilize "new budget line items" (Sec. I.3.d of the NOFO): VAWA activities, at or above 1% of total project costs	2	
<b>Total Points PART B</b>	<b>10</b>	
<b>PART C. HMIS or Comparable Database Participation</b>		
1. Does the Agency participate in HMIS or a comparable database for other homeless dedicated projects? (No other projects = full points)		
YES	6	
NO	0	
<b>Total Points PART C</b>	<b>6</b>	
<b>PART D. Prioritize Vulnerable Populations</b>		
1 Persons experiencing Chronic Homelessness or DedicatedPlus population	10	
2. Persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking	8	
3. Families with children or Unaccompanied Youth	7	
4. Veterans	6	
<b>Total Points - PART D</b>	<b>10</b>	
<b>PART E: Effective Plans and Need</b>		

1. Proposed project describes agency experience with administering PSH or RRH projects (DV projects - also address how safety outcomes met in past)	6	
2. Proposed project describes past experience in leveraging other funds to support projects.	6	
3. Proposed project describes having in place the basic management and organization structure for successful implementaiotn.	6	
4. Proposed project describes time to full capacity.	6	
5. Proposed project meets need as evident in PIT or HIC Count	6	
6. Proposed project explains outreach and target to population experiencing homelessness	6	
7. Proposed project utilizes housing vouchers and healthcare provided through an array of healthcare service providers and non CoC or ESG Programs	6	
8. Agency performance on other grants	6	
9. Use of evidence based approach	6	
<b>Total Points - PART E</b>	<b>54</b>	
<b>PART F. . Local CoC Processes and Budget Cost Effectiveness</b>		
1. Applicant agency is actively involved with the CoC by attending 50% of Steering Committee meetings and involved with a subcommittee	2	
2. Applicant agency has no unresolved monitoring findings from HUD/OIG	2	
3. Applicant administers programs dedicated to persons currently experiencing homelessness	2	
4. Program uses Housing First Model	2	
5. Program prioritizes entry by severity of need	2	
6. Low barrier entry to program	2	
7. Cost effectiveness at or below \$15,300 per unit/year	2	
8. Project applicant evidences measures to identify and address identity based barriers to participation in the program	3	
9. Project applicant includes those with lived experience of homelessness in planning or decision making processes	3	
<b>Total Points PART F</b>	<b>20</b>	
<b>FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 100 )</b>	<b>100</b>	
<b>Final Comments</b>		